

## Thame Community Governance Review: we'd like your feedback



| 1. Are you responding as:   |   |   |                  |                |
|-----------------------------|---|---|------------------|----------------|
| Answer Choices              |   |   | Response Percent | Response Total |
| 1                           | a resident within the parish                          | <div style="width: 50%; height: 15px; background-color: #0070C0;"></div>    | 50.00%           | 6              |
| 2                           | someone who works within the parish                   |   | 0.00%            | 0              |
| 3                           | a business / organisation operating within the parish | <div style="width: 8.33%; height: 15px; background-color: #4CAF50;"></div>  | 8.33%            | 1              |
| 4                           | a visitor or interested party                         |   | 0.00%            | 0              |
| 5                           | a councillor (parish, district, county)               | <div style="width: 16.67%; height: 15px; background-color: #FFC107;"></div> | 16.67%           | 2              |
| 6                           | an officer (parish, district, county)                 | <div style="width: 25.00%; height: 15px; background-color: #00BCD4;"></div> | 25.00%           | 3              |
| 7                           | Other (please specify):                               |   | 0.00%            | 0              |
|                             |   |   | answered         | 12             |
|                             |   |   | skipped          | 0              |
| Other (please specify): (0) |   |   |                  |                |
| No answers found.           |   |   |                  |                |

| 2. If you are responding as a business / organisation, council or body please provide its name: |   |  |                  |                |
|---|---|--|------------------|----------------|
| Answer Choices  |   |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
| 1   | Open-Ended Question                       |  | 100.00%          | 5              |
| 1   | Thame Town Council. SODC                  |  |                  |                |
| 2   | Great Haseley Parish Council, Oxfordshire |  |                  |                |
| 3   | Thame Town Council                        |  |                  |                |
| 4   | Thame Town Council                        |  |                  |                |
| 5   | Great Haseley Parish Council              |  |                  |                |
|   |   |  | answered         | 5              |
|   |   |  | skipped          | 7              |






**3. To help us analyse responses, please provide your full postcode (e.g. RG9 1XX)**

| Answer Choices |                     | Response Percent | Response Total |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 1              | Open-Ended Question | 100.00%          | 11             |
|                |                     | answered         | 11             |
|                |                     | skipped          | 1              |

**4. Which proposal would you like to comment on: Tick all that apply.**

| Answer Choices |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
|----------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| 1              | Make no change to the boundary between Thame Parish and Great Haseley Parish                                      | 58.33%           | 7              |
| 2              | Amend the ward boundary between Thame North and Thame South wards so that there is a more equal representation  | 66.67%           | 8              |
|                |  | answered         | 12             |
|                |  | skipped          | 0              |

**8. How far do you support or oppose the proposal to amend the ward boundary between Thame North and Thame South wards so that there is a more equal representation?**

| Answer Choices |   | Response Percent | Response Total |
|----------------|---|------------------|----------------|
| 1              | Strongly support           | 37.50%           | 3              |
| 2              | Tend to support            | 25.00%           | 2              |
| 3              | Neither support or oppose  | 12.50%           | 1              |
| 4              | Tend to oppose  | 0.00%            | 0              |
| 5              | Strongly oppose            | 12.50%           | 1              |
| 6              | Not sure  | 0.00%            | 0              |
| 7              | I don't have a view        | 12.50%           | 1              |
|                |   | answered         | 8              |
|                |   | skipped          | 4              |

**9. If you have any comments to support or oppose the proposal, please provide them below:**

| Answer Choices |  | Response Percent | Response Total |
|----------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| 1              | Open-Ended Question  | 100.00%          | 7              |
| 1              | This would give parity to the North and South wards which have equal representation  |                  |                |
| 2              | Council tax gone up, normal working class people up against it, and you want to waste revenue on this utter waste of time which has probably already been decided. Show some respect please  |                  |                |
| 3              | What the survey letter does not address is how many people it will involve, it shows the map but not the numbers. This smacks of opening up the land for development rather than levelling up the numbers. What I want to see is how many numbers we are currently going to see if this is changed and the proposed numbers that will change.  |                  |                |
| 4              | That is truly one terrible map/diagram.<br>The objective should be to explain and elucidate for those who are unfamiliar and in this it has singularly failed. While it may have succeeded in setting out the map designer's understanding it has (almost) failed to explain it for the intended target audience.<br><br>Poor choice of colours with poor contrast.<br>Inadequate legends.<br>Lack of review by a representative random sample audience. |                  |                |
| 5              | I would have preferred to have seen a comparison of numbers of residents and employed persons in the wards and revision of wards for comparison  |                  |                |
| 6              | equal representation is vital  |                  |                |
| 7              | Thame Town Council fully supports the proposal to amend the ward boundary between Thame North and Thame South so that current electoral ward imbalance is addressed and there is a more logical division of the parish.  |                  |                |
|                |  | answered         | 7              |
|                |  | skipped          | 5              |

**10. If you have any alternative suggestions in relation to this proposal, please provide them below.**

| Answer Choices    |                     | Response Percent | Response Total |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 1                 | Open-Ended Question | 0.00%            | 0              |
| No answers found. |                     |                  |                |
|                   |                     | answered         | 0              |
|                   |                     | skipped          | 12             |

**11. You can upload any supporting documents using the button below.**

| File Type   | Average Size | Files Uploaded |
|---|--------------|----------------|
| To view the files uploaded, go into the individual results. | answered     | 0              |

11. You can upload any supporting documents using the button below.

| File Type | Average Size | Files Uploaded |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|
|           | skipped      | 12             |